Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/970,741	LITTLE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lawrence Shrader	2193
All Participants:	Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>	
(1) <u>Lawrence Shrader</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Ken Kenna, Reg. # 45,445</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>1 April 2005</u>	Time: <u>7:30 PM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 4, and 16  Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Requested permission for examiner's amendment to correct three instances Java to JAVA in the specification, and to change "the progress" to "progress" in claims 4 and 16 to avoid any interpretation of lacking antecedant basis.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
Lamere Shash		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)